J

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,341	09/08/2003	Richard B. Klein	LYNK.107724	1667

			EXAMINER
5251	7590	05/13/2005	NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
2555 GRAND BLVD
KANSAS CITY,, MO 64108

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3634	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/657,341	Applicant(s) KLEIN ET AL.
	Examiner Jennifer E. Novosad	Art Unit 3634	

All Participants:

(1) *Ms. Jennifer E. Novosad (Examiner)*.

(2) *Mr. Richard Johnson (Attorney)*.

Status of Application: *After-final amendment*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *10 May 2005*   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
*N/A*

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Acknowledged receipt of the after-final amendment filed April 26, 2005.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)